UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,767	04/16/2004	Mingyan Liu	MSDI-343/PC273.22	8731
52196 KRIEG DEVA	7590 03/06/200 ULT LLP	8	EXAMINER	
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2800			PREBILIC, PAUL B	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/825,767	LIU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Prebilic	3774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT attute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	rawn from consideration. /are rejected.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s).	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 13, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claims 22 and 31 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 22, on the second to last line, the language "between first and vertebrae" is unclear. The Examiner suggests inserting "second" after "first and" in order to overcome this objection.

Regarding claim 31, line 12, the language "the distal end of the cutting portion" lacks clear antecedence. The Examiner suggests replacing this language with ---the second end of the cutting portion--- in order to overcome this objection. Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/825,767 Page 3

Art Unit: 3774

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15, 17, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26, and 29-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michelson (US 5,484,437). Michelson anticipates the claim language where the proximal handle as claimed is element (135) of Michelson (see Figure 2 and column 12, line 50 et seq.), the shaft as claimed is element (111), the first non-cutting portion as claimed is element (152), the cutting portion as claimed is element (120), and the second non-cutting portion as claimed is the end of element (120).

With regard to claim 20, the Applicant is directed to column 1, lines 19-39.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michelson (US 5,484,437). Michelson meets the claim language as explained in the Section 102 rejection but fails to disclose repositioning the implant as claimed. However, the Examiner asserts that it would have been considered *prima facie* obvious to an ordinary artisan to reposition the distractor or implant of Michelson in order to get a better fit or to correct the position of such a device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16, 23, 24, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3774

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul Prebilic/ Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3774